



Decouple an **abstraction** from its **implementation**. So that the two can vary

independently.





Several problems:

- Implementation bound to abstraction
- Code duplication and proliferation of classes



Combine inheritance and object composition:

- Use inheritance to model variations of the abstraction.
- Use object composition to abstract from implementation variations.



The Rationale Underlying the Solution:

- Object composition and inheritance provide different trade-offs for expressing variations.
- Object composition is used to implement dynamic variations with a fixed interface.
- Implementation variations are more of this kind; although not always...
- For static variations inheritance is preferred, because it supports structural variations.
- Abstraction variations are mostly static.
- They often imply variation of structure.



## Advantages

Decoupling interface and implementation:

- Implementation can be configured at run-time.
- The implementation in use is hidden inside the abstraction.

Improved extensibility:

- Both abstractions and their implementations become independently extensible by subclassing without a class proliferation.
- Different abstractions and implementations can be combined.

## Takeaway

- The Bridge Pattern instructs to use object composition to bridge between two inheritance hierarchies when you need to combine two kinds of variations of an object type.
- The Bridge Pattern allows to vary an abstraction and its implementation independently of each other.
- Works well as long as there is no dependency between the implementation on abstraction variations, i.e., if they do not vary co-variantly.